

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Docket No.: GR 00 P 12246

JUN 15 2006CERTIFICATION OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

MAIL STOP: APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTSBy: Date: June 15, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Applic. No. : 09/883,817 Confirmation No.: 2567
Inventor : Jens Barrenscheen, et al.
Filed : June 18, 2001
Title : Method of Transmitting Data Between
Devices Connected Via a Multi-Master Bus
Defining a Time Slot During Transmission
for Responsive Output Information from
Non-Bus Master Devices
TC/A.U. : 2112
Examiner : Clifford H. Knoll
Customer No. : 24131

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents

Hon. Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S i r :

Responsive to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief,
dated May 15, 2006, kindly consider the following:

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 15 2006

Remarks:

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested

Appellants received a Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed on May 15, 2006 (the "Notice"). The Notice indicated by the checked box in front of item 4, that Appellants' alleged non-compliance related to the section relating to the concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal. More particularly, further clarification was provided under item 10 of the Notice, which set forth a particular demonstrative example of a properly drafted Summary of Claimed Subject Matter.

As such, Appellants are filing the present Second Supplemental Appeal Brief, which is believed to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37. In Appellants' Supplemental Appeal Brief, the Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter section, required under 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 (c)(1)(v), has been amended to comport with the provided demonstrative example of a properly drafted Summary of Claimed Subject Matter. Appellants additionally note that the originally filed Appeal Brief included in the "Argument" section, ample references to the specification

Applic. No. 09/883,817
Response Dated June 15, 2006
Responsive to Office Action of January 24, 2006

and claims showing the support for the limitations for the
appealed claims.

It is believed that the Substitute Appeal Brief is proper
and addresses all of the issues raised in the Notice. If
further information is needed, or the Second Substitute
Appeal Brief is deemed to be insufficient in any way,
Appellants request that the Examiner please feel free to
contact the Appellants' Attorney by telephone to expedite
resolution of these issues.

If an extension of time for this paper is required,
petition for extension is herewith made.

Please charge any fees that might be due with respect to
Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner
and Greenberg, P.A., No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

LAURENCE A. GREENBERG
REG. NO. 29,308

For Appellants

June 15, 2006

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480
Tel: (954) 925-1100
Fax: (954) 925-1101